

**SANTA BARBARA CITY COLLEGE
COLLEGE PLANNING COUNCIL**

**May 16, 2006
3:00 - 4:30 PM
A218C**

MINUTES

PRESENT: J. Friedlander, S. Ehrlich, L. Fairly, J. Sullivan, K. McLellan, P. Haslund, T. Garey,
B. Lindemann, K. Molloy, E. Frankel, L. Auchincloss, M. Guillen, C. Ramirez

ABSENT: P. Bishop, A. Serban

GUEST: L. Griffin, P. English

1.0 Call to Order

1.1 Approval of the minutes of the March 21st and March 28th CPC meetings.

This item was not addressed.

2.0 Announcements

2.1 There were no announcements.

3.0 Information Items

3.1 John Romo has accepted CPC's ranking of the resource requests

The Council was so informed.

3.2 Allocation of funds from Educational Programs budget to cover costs to move the Dual Enrollment Coordinator (Carol Flores) salary and benefits from being partially funded by Tech-Prep grant dollars to being 100% funded from the General Fund (\$78,000) and to use Tech-Prep grant funds to hire a full-time, 10-month Dual Enrollment Specialist (\$65,210) .

Jack Friedlander said he recognized all the reasons why the request for the Dual Enrollment position was ranked low on the list of items recommended by the VPs for funding. He said as he looked at the critical need for the institution to have that position in order to just maintain our base going forward and not having to say "no" to the many requests from the high schools for additional Dual Enrollment courses, it would not be in the college's best interest educationally, financially, or politically to turn down these requests. Dr. Friedlander said he has money in his budget that he had been holding to replace the Dean of Technologies position that was vacated by Mike Gallegos. Given the critical importance of adding this new position as soon as possible, Dr. Friedlander made the decision to reallocate these dollars to fund the Dual

Enrollment position. Dr. Friedlander said it is his hope once we work down the priorities in CPC's ranking of new resource requests, he will be reimbursed as resources become available in 2006-07. He said that the college received over 1,000 FTES in Dual Enrollment this year and that this number will be higher in 2007-08 if this position is funded.

Esther Frankel asked for an update of the sabbatical leave budget issue. Dr. Friedlander answered that President Romo had agreed to fund Patricia Stark's one-year sabbatical leave and replace her position with a temporary contract. That approval put the sabbatical leave budget well over its allocation. He said when John Romo made this decision he did so based on information that it would cost a certain amount of money. Dr. Friedlander said that it was just learned that the actual cost of allowing Patricia Stark to take a one-year sabbatical leave and replace her with a one-year temporary contract instructor was more than anticipated. However, given the circumstances, he decided not to reverse his initial decision at this point. He said that Rick Mokler was scheduled to go on sabbatical next year but has decided to forego it in light of the remodel of the D-M building that is scheduled to take place during the coming year. Next in line for approval of a sabbatical leave was Julie Smith who requested a one-semester sabbatical. John Romo said that he could not support an even higher deficit in the Sabbatical leave budget by his approving Julie Smith's one-year sabbatical. Jack Friedlander stated that given the need to have much of the work Julie Smith proposed to accomplish during her sabbatical completed in advance of the 2007-08 academic year to meet new state requirements for people entering jobs in her field, he would work with Julie to develop a special project that would enable her to complete the essential tasks needed to revise the ECE curriculum and articulation agreements.

Joe Sullivan said the Governor's May revise for the college did not have a significant impact insofar as the base or ongoing funding. There is the potential for a block grant which could be significant as well as one for maintenance funding. He said what will be advantageous to the passage of SB 361 the new method of allocating funds based solely on FTES. This passage of SB 361 would increase our allocation for equalization as well as the college's base funding. Jack Friedlander said the money being appropriated for equalization will be a huge boost to our college. Leslie Griffin said the state-funded categorical programs came out well in the budget by receiving both a COLA and for many of these programs, another 7.66 percent for growth. COLA came in at 5.92 percent for 2006-07.

3.4 Preliminary budget estimate for 2006-07

Joe Sullivan distributed and discussed the Budget Assumptions for 2006-07 which facilitated the structure of our preliminary budget for 2006-07. The salary model budget assumption was also distributed which detailed the actual costs of positions over and above salaries between 2005-06 and the 2006-07 budgets. Vice President Sullivan led the Council through a discussion of the General Fund – Unrestricted Projected Year-End results for 2005-06, the Preliminary Budget for 2006-07 and discussed the increase/decrease between the two budgets and the reasons for the change. Dr. Friedlander thanked Leslie Griffin for the amount of detail and exactness of the data she prepared for the salary model.

4.0 Discussion Items

4.1 DTC plan

Liz Auchincloss, a member of DTC, said that this plan has gone through considerable consultation and reflects input from all the appropriate consultation bodies.

M/S/C [Garey/Molloy] to move this item to action.

M/S/C unanimously [Fairly/___] to approve the DTC Plan.

5.0 Action Item

5.1 Proposed parking measures for Fall 2006

M/S [McLellan/Ehrlich] to support the recommendation for the parking meters (Attachment 3).

Discussion: Kathy Molloy indicated that the Senate has only discussed the parking meters and not the parking dispensers and could not approve the proposal without the Academic Senate input. Ms. Molloy agreed to call a special meeting of the Senate in June so that the decision can be made on the recommendations on parking dispensers.

M/S [Lindemann/Garey] to table the action of this item.

Discussion: Joe Sullivan said he needs the consensus of the bodies by June 15th to implement the parking meters and dispensers by fall 2006. Otherwise, any later decision would necessitate waiting to implement in fall 2007. He said it was his understanding from President Romo that the parking meters would be brought to action today to give him [Sullivan] time to order them to be in place in Fall 2006. However, he said if he is going to place an order, he is going to do both the meters and the dispensers at the same time to get a better price and to have them installed at one time. Jack Friedlander indicated that this still needs to go to the Board study session which doesn't meet again until July which would be past the deadline for ordering to be in place by the start of the fall semester.

The motion to table the motion and discussion was defeated with 7 nays and 4 yeas. Tom Garey asked for a show of hands: In favor of tabling the motion: 5; opposed to tabling the motion: 7. The motion remains active.

Discussion: Mr. Sullivan indicated that it would not be feasible to install the meters/dispensers between semesters because it changes the parking culture of the college. There is a need to change the signage, mark the spaces in the lots, and prepare the postcard that goes out to students describing the parking options for the fall semester.

Jack Friedlander suggested that the Academic Senate and CPC meet prior to the deadline for ordering the meters and dispensers to have them installed prior to the fall semester. He will speak to President Romo to suggest the Board study session be scheduled in a timely manner to accommodate the ordering of the meters/dispensers.

Keith McLellan stressed that the timely passage of this proposal is critical to the health and safety of students, faculty and staff. He said he was opposed to deferring this mitigation of the parking situation beyond fall.

Barbara Lindemann said she feels it is critical to go back to the Academic Senate to clarify the proposal and the process and get their support. She said Joe Sullivan has responded to the Senate's concerns but it has not come back to the Senate to advise them that their concerns have been addressed.

Liz Auchincloss called for the previous question; it was seconded. Approved: 7; Opposed: 5. Discussion continued as it was not a 2/3's vote.

Discussion: Peter Haslund said that we have to assume that the faculty want to be included in the discussion of the proposed changes in campus parking and they felt that they were not. He concurred with Barbara Lindemann that this is a good proposal. Dr. Friedlander said he will consult with President Romo to determine a date when the Board study session could meet in June.

M/S/C [Garey/Molly] to postpone action on the main motion until the next CPC meeting on or about June 15th.

Note: The Academic Senate has agreed to meet on Monday, June 12. The College Planning Council will meet on Tuesday, June 13th at 1:30 p.m. The Board Study Session will be held on June 13th at 4:00 p.m.

6.0 Adjournment

Upon motion, the meeting was adjourned.